PhD THESIS EVALUATION REPORT

Please complete this evaluation form (in English or Italian) and return it to: dottorato.m3es@unimore.it

---

Reviewer Information

- Name:
- University or Research Institute:

Ph.D. Candidate Information

- Name:
- Thesis Title:

Evaluation Criteria

1. Originality of the research and impact

Describe the scientific relevance and originality of the research, as well as the impact on the field (min 200, max 400 words):

The research is original and impactful:

- Fully agree
- Mostly agree
- Partly agree
- Disagree
- Totally disagree

2. Methods and objectives

Evaluate the suitability of the methodology, and achievement of planned objectives (min 200, max 400 words):

The methods, data, and analysis fully support the conclusions:

- Fully agree
- Mostly agree
- Partly agree
- Disagree
- Totally disagree

3. Sources and Bibliography

Assess the appropriateness and completeness of the sources and bibliography used in the thesis (max 400 words):

The referencing is appropriate

- Fully agree
- Mostly agree
- Partly agree
- Disagree
- Totally disagree

4. Structure and Writing Quality

Evaluate the organization of the thesis and the clarity of text and figures (max 400 words):

The organization/presentation is of high quality

- Fully agree
- Mostly agree
- Partly agree
- Disagree
- Totally disagree

5. Other comments (Optional)

6. Final recommendations

- The thesis is worthy of defence without changes.
- The thesis is worthy of defence, but the quality would be improved if some minor / moderate changes were made (see box below). No re-assessment is necessary.
- The thesis has not been found worthy of public defence in the present form.

7. Suggested Revisions

8. Please provide an overall evaluation of the thesis (min. 100 max 400 words) considering the following parameters

1. Originality & Contribution to Knowledge – Does the research introduce new ideas, theories, or methods that significantly advance the field?

2. Scientific Rigor & Methodology – Is the study methodologically sound, with well-justified, reproducible, and robust approaches?

3. Impact & Influence – Is the work highly relevant to the field, with potential for real-world application, interdisciplinary significance, or shaping future research?

Reviewer Signature:

Date: